



## Complete Summary

---

[Take the Second Annual User Survey](#)

### TITLE

Urinary tract infection: hospital admission rate.

### SOURCE(S)

AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to prevention quality indicators: hospital admission for ambulatory care sensitive conditions [version 2.1, revision 4]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2004 Nov 24. 115 p.(AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0203). [50 references]

## Brief Abstract

### DESCRIPTION

This measure is used to assess the number of admissions for urinary tract infection per 100,000 population.

As a Prevention Quality Indicator (PQI), admission for urinary tract infection is not a measure of hospital quality, but rather one measure of outpatient and other health care. This indicator has unclear construct validity, because it has not been validated except as part of a set of indicators. Providers may reduce admission rates without actually improving quality by shifting care to an outpatient setting. Some urinary tract infection care takes place in emergency rooms. As such, combining inpatient and emergency room data may give a more accurate picture of this indicator.

### RATIONALE

Prevention is an important role for all health care providers. Providers can help individuals stay healthy by preventing disease, and they can prevent complications of existing disease by helping patients live with their illnesses. To fulfill this role, however, providers need data on the impact of their services and the opportunity to compare these data over time or across communities. Local, State, and Federal policymakers also need these tools and data to identify potential access or quality-of-care problems related to prevention, to plan specific interventions, and to evaluate how well these interventions meet the goals of preventing illness and disability.

While these indicators use hospital inpatient data, their focus is an outpatient health care. Except in the case of patients who are readmitted soon after

discharge from a hospital, the quality of inpatient care is unlikely to be a significant determinant of admission rates for ambulatory care sensitive conditions. Rather, the Patient Quality Indicators (PQIs) assess the quality of the health care system as a whole, and especially the quality of ambulatory care, in preventing medical complications. As a result, these measures are likely to be of the greatest value when calculated at the population level and when used by public health groups, State data organizations, and other organizations concerned with the health of populations.

These indicators serve as a screening tool rather than as definitive measures of quality problems. They can provide initial information about potential problems in the community that may require further, more in-depth analysis.

Urinary tract infection is a common acute condition that can, for the most part, be treated with antibiotics in an outpatient setting. However, this condition can progress to more clinically significant infections, such as pyelonephritis, in vulnerable individuals with inadequate treatment.

Proper outpatient treatment may reduce admissions for urinary infection, and lower rates represent better quality care.

#### PRIMARY CLINICAL COMPONENT

Urinary infections; hospital admission rates

#### DENOMINATOR DESCRIPTION

Population in Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) or county

#### NUMERATOR DESCRIPTION

Discharges with International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) principal diagnosis code for urinary tract infection. Patients transferring from another institution, Major Diagnostic Category (MDC) 14 (pregnancy, childbirth, and puerperium), or MDC 15 (newborns and other neonates) are excluded.

### Evidence Supporting the Measure

#### PRIMARY MEASURE DOMAIN

Access

#### SECONDARY MEASURE DOMAIN

Outcome

#### EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE MEASURE

One or more research studies published in a National Library of Medicine (NLM) indexed, peer-reviewed journal

### Evidence Supporting Need for the Measure

#### NEED FOR THE MEASURE

Wide variation in quality for the performance measured

#### EVIDENCE SUPPORTING NEED FOR THE MEASURE

AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to prevention quality indicators: hospital admission for ambulatory care sensitive conditions [version 2.1, revision 4]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2004 Nov 24. 115 p. (AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0203). [50 references]

### State of Use of the Measure

#### STATE OF USE

Current routine use

#### CURRENT USE

Internal quality improvement  
Quality of care research

### Application of Measure in its Current Use

#### CARE SETTING

Ambulatory Care  
Community Health Care

#### PROFESSIONALS RESPONSIBLE FOR HEALTH CARE

Advanced Practice Nurses  
Physician Assistants  
Physicians

#### LOWEST LEVEL OF HEALTH CARE DELIVERY ADDRESSED

Counties or Cities

#### TARGET POPULATION AGE

Patients of all age groups, excluding newborns and other neonates

#### TARGET POPULATION GENDER

Either male or female

## STRATIFICATION BY VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

Unspecified

### Characteristics of the Primary Clinical Component

#### INCIDENCE/PREVALENCE

Rate (2002): 137.9 per 100,000 population.

#### EVIDENCE FOR INCIDENCE/PREVALENCE

AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to prevention quality indicators: hospital admission for ambulatory care sensitive conditions [version 2.1, revision 4]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2004 Nov 24. 115 p.(AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0203). [50 references]

#### ASSOCIATION WITH VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

- Billings et al. found that low-income zip codes in New York City had 2.2 times more urinary tract infection admissions than high-income zip codes. Household income explained 28% of this variation.
- Millman et al. reported that low-income zip codes had 2.8 times more urinary tract infection hospitalizations per capita than high-income zip codes.

#### EVIDENCE FOR ASSOCIATION WITH VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to prevention quality indicators: hospital admission for ambulatory care sensitive conditions [version 2.1, revision 4]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2004 Nov 24. 115 p.(AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0203). [50 references]

#### BURDEN OF ILLNESS

Unspecified

#### UTILIZATION

Unspecified

#### COSTS

Unspecified

### Institute of Medicine National Healthcare Quality Report Categories

#### IOM CARE NEED

Getting Better

## IOM DOMAIN

Effectiveness  
Timeliness

## Data Collection for the Measure

### CASE FINDING

Both users and nonusers of care

### DESCRIPTION OF CASE FINDING

Population in Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) or county

### DENOMINATOR SAMPLING FRAME

Geographically defined

### DENOMINATOR (INDEX) EVENT

Patient Characteristic

### DENOMINATOR INCLUSIONS/EXCLUSIONS

#### Inclusions

Population in Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) or county

#### Exclusions

Unspecified

### NUMERATOR INCLUSIONS/EXCLUSIONS

#### Inclusions

Discharges with International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) principal diagnosis code\* for urinary tract infection

\*Refer to Appendix A of the original measure documentation for ICD-9-CM codes.

#### Exclusions

Patients transferring from another institution, Major Diagnostic Category (MDC) 14 (pregnancy, childbirth, and puerperium), or MDC 15 (newborns and other neonates) are excluded.

### DENOMINATOR TIME WINDOW

Time window is a single point in time

#### NUMERATOR TIME WINDOW

Encounter or point in time

#### DATA SOURCE

Administrative data

#### LEVEL OF DETERMINATION OF QUALITY

Not Individual Case

#### OUTCOME TYPE

Proxy for Outcome

#### PRE-EXISTING INSTRUMENT USED

Unspecified

### Computation of the Measure

#### SCORING

Rate

#### INTERPRETATION OF SCORE

Better quality is associated with a lower score

#### ALLOWANCE FOR PATIENT FACTORS

Analysis by subgroup (stratification on patient factors)  
Risk adjustment method widely or commercially available

#### DESCRIPTION OF ALLOWANCE FOR PATIENT FACTORS

Observed (raw) rates may be stratified by areas (Metropolitan Statistical Areas or counties), age groups, race/ethnicity categories, and sex.

Risk adjustment of the data is recommended using age and sex.

Application of multivariate signal extraction (MSX) to smooth risk adjusted rates is also recommended.

#### STANDARD OF COMPARISON

External comparison at a point in time  
External comparison of time trends  
Internal time comparison

## Evaluation of Measure Properties

### EXTENT OF MEASURE TESTING

Each potential quality indicator was evaluated against the following six criteria, which were considered essential for determining the reliability and validity of a quality indicator: face validity, precision, minimum bias, construct validity, fosters real quality improvement, and application. The project team searched Medline for articles relating to each of these six areas of evaluation. Additionally, extensive empirical testing of all potential indicators was conducted using the 1995-97 Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) State Inpatient Databases (SID) and Nationwide Inpatient Sample (NIS) to determine precision, bias, and construct validity. Table 1 in the original measure documentation summarizes the results of the literature review and empirical evaluations on the Prevention Quality Indicators. Refer to the original measure documentation for details.

### EVIDENCE FOR RELIABILITY/VALIDITY TESTING

AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to prevention quality indicators: hospital admission for ambulatory care sensitive conditions [version 2.1, revision 4]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2004 Nov 24. 115 p. (AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0203). [50 references]

## Identifying Information

### ORIGINAL TITLE

Urinary tract infection admission rate (PQI 12).

### MEASURE COLLECTION

[Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality \(AHRQ\) Quality Indicators](#)

### MEASURE SET NAME

[Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality \(AHRQ\) Prevention Quality Indicators](#)

### DEVELOPER

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

### ADAPTATION

This indicator was originally developed by Billings and colleagues in conjunction with the United Hospital Fund of New York.

## PARENT MEASURE

Unspecified

## RELEASE DATE

2001 Oct

## REVISION DATE

2004 Nov

## MEASURE STATUS

This is the current release of the measure.

This measure updates a previous version: AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to prevention quality indicators: hospital admission for ambulatory care sensitive conditions [version 2.1, revision 3]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2004 Jan 9. Various p. (AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0203).

## SOURCE(S)

AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to prevention quality indicators: hospital admission for ambulatory care sensitive conditions [version 2.1, revision 4]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2004 Nov 24. 115 p. (AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0203). [50 references]

## MEASURE AVAILABILITY

The individual measure, "Urinary Tract Infection Admission Rate (PQI 12)," is published in "AHRQ Quality Indicators. Guide to Prevention Quality Indicators: Hospital Admission for Ambulatory Sensitive Conditions." This document is available in [Portable Document Format \(PDF\)](#) and a [zipped Word\(R\) file](#) from the [Quality Indicators](#) page at the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Web site.

For more information, please contact the QI Support Team at [support@qualityindicators.ahrq.gov](mailto:support@qualityindicators.ahrq.gov).

## COMPANION DOCUMENTS

The following are available:

- AHRQ quality indicators. Prevention quality indicators: software documentation [version 2.1, revision 4] - SAS. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2004 Nov 24. 36 p. (AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0202). This document is available from the [Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality \(AHRQ\) Web site](#).



- AHRQ quality indicators. Prevention quality indicators: software documentation [version 2.1, revision 4] - SPSS. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2004 Nov 24. 32 p. (AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0207). This document is available from the [AHRQ Web site](#).
- Remus D, Fraser I. Guidance for using the AHRQ quality indicators for hospital-level public reporting or payment. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2004 Aug. 24 p. This document is available from the [AHRQ Web site](#).
- HCUPnet, Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project. [internet]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2004 [Various pagings]. HCUPnet is available from the [AHRQ Web site](#).
- UCSF-Stanford Evidence-based Practice Center. Davies GM, Geppert J, McClellan M, et al. Refinement of the HCUP quality indicators. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2001 May. (Technical review; no. 4). This document is available from the [AHRQ Web site](#).

## NQMC STATUS

This NQMC summary was completed by ECRI on December 19, 2002. The information was verified by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality on January 9, 2003. This NQMC summary was updated by ECRI on April 6, 2004 and again on February 18, 2005. The information was verified by the measure developer on April 22, 2005.

## COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

No copyright restrictions apply.

© 2005 National Quality Measures Clearinghouse

Date Modified: 5/23/2005

**FIRSTGOV**

